

FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS
via MAGIC

TOPOLOGY (Topic 2)

J. Martin Lindsay

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Lancaster University

LAST EDITED: 27.viii.2020

This section contains a rapid review of point-set topology, concentrating on aspects most relevant for Functional Analysis. Later we shall be primarily concerned with metric topologies and more specifically norm-topologies. The main exception to this is the the weak*-topology on dual Banach spaces, whose proper understanding requires much of the present material.

Some further topology is contained in the section Metric Spaces.

T-1

- ▶ Topological space
- ▶ Metric space; metrisability
- ▶ Relative topology
- ▶ Neighbourhoods
- ▶ Neighbourhood base; first-countability
- ▶ Closed sets; convergence
- ▶ Interior, closure and boundary
- ▶ Density and separability

Definition (Topological space)

A *topology* on a set S is a family τ of subsets of S satisfying:

$$(Ti) \quad \emptyset, S \in \tau;$$

$$(Tii) \quad \mathcal{U} \subset \tau \implies \bigcup \mathcal{U} \in \tau;$$

$$(Tiii) \quad U, V \in \tau \implies U \cap V \in \tau.$$

In other words, τ must contain the whole set and empty set, and be closed under arbitrary unions and finite intersections. The elements of τ are called the *open sets* of the topology. The pair (S, τ) is called a *topological space*.

Thus

$$\{\emptyset, S\} \subset \tau \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the *power set* of S , consisting of *all* of the subsets of S .

Example (Discrete topologies)

For any set S there is a *discrete topology* on S :

$$\tau = \mathcal{P}(S)$$

in which every subset is open.

Example (Trivial topologies)

For any set S there is the *trivial topology* on S :

$$\tau = \{\emptyset, S\}$$

in which only those sets that *have* to be open are.

Definition (Pseudo-metric space)

A *pseudo-metric* on a set E is a map

$$d : E \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty[$$

satisfying:

$$(Mi) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z);$$

$$(Mii) \quad d(y, x) = d(x, y);$$

$$(Miii) \quad d(x, x) = 0;$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$. It is a *metric* if, furthermore,

$$(Miv) \quad d(x, y) = 0 \implies x = y.$$

Consequence

$$|d(x, y) - d(x, z)| \leq d(y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

Definition (Open balls)

In a pseudo-metric space E , the set

$$B_r^E(a) := \{x \in E : d(x, a) < r\}$$

is called the *open ball in E with centre a and radius r* ($a \in E$, $r > 0$).

Lemma (On open balls)

Let E be a pseudo-metric space.

(i) For all $a \in E$,

$$E = \bigcup_{r>0} B_r^E(a)$$

(ii) For all $a, b \in E$ and $r, s > 0$,

$$x \in B_r^E(a) \cap B_s^E(b) \implies B_t^E(x) \subset B_r^E(a) \cap B_s^E(b)$$

where $t = \min \{r - d(a, x), s - d(b, x)\}$.

Proposition (Topology of a pseudo-metric space)

Let (E, d) be a pseudo-metric space. Calling a subset U of E “open” if for each element x of U there is a ball centred at x contained within U , we obtain a topology for E with respect to which each open ball is an open set:

$$\tau := \left\{ U \subset E \mid \forall x \in U \exists r > 0 : B_r^E(x) \subset U \right\}$$

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: The required property is trivially satisfied by \emptyset ; the lemma does the rest.] □

Terminology

The *topology induced* by d .

Definition (Metrisability)

A topological space (S, τ) is (*pseudo-*)metrisable if τ is induced by a (*pseudo-*)metric on S .

Example (Discrete metric)

Discrete spaces are metrisable, by the *discrete metric*:

$$d(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}.$$

Remark

In a discrete metric space E :

$$B_r(a) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{for } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ E & \text{for } r > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proposition (Balls as base for pseudo-metric topologies)

Let τ be the topology induced by a pseudo-metric on a set E . Then

$$\tau = \left\{ \bigcup \mathcal{B}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

where \mathcal{B} is the collection of open balls:

$$\mathcal{B} := \{B_r(a) : a \in E, r > 0\}.$$

Proof.

Exercise.



Example (Standard topology on \mathbb{R}^n)

The topology induced on \mathbb{R}^n by the *Euclidean metric*

$$d(x, y) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is referred to as the *standard topology* on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition (Countable unions of open balls)

Every open set of \mathbb{R}^n is expressible as a countable union of open balls.

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: consider the family

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \{B_r(q) : q \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}, r > 0\}.]$$



Proposition (Quotient metric space of a pseudo-metric space)

Let (E, d) be a pseudo-metric space. Then:

(a)

$$x \sim y \quad \text{if} \quad d(x, y) = 0$$

defines an equivalence relation on E ;

(b) $\tilde{d}([x], [y]) := d(x, y)$ defines a metric on the quotient set E/\sim ;

(c) the quotient map $q : E \rightarrow E/\sim$ satisfies

$$q^{-1}(B_r^{E/\sim}([a])) = B_r^E(a)$$

for each $a \in E$ and $r > 0$.

Proof.

Exercise.



Definition (Relative topology)

Given a topological space (S, τ) , every subset A of S has an *induced topology* (also called the *relative topology*)

$$\tau_R := \{U \cap A : U \in \tau\}$$

Example (Discrete spaces as relative topologies)

Let A be a subset of \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\inf \{|y - x| : x, y \in A, x \neq y\} > 0.$$

For example, A could be any finite subset of \mathbb{R} or any subset of \mathbb{Z} . Then the relative topology on A for the standard topology on \mathbb{R} is the discrete topology on the set A .

Exercise

Check this claim.

Definition (Neighbourhoods)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space, let $a \in S$ and let $U \subset S$. Then U is an *open neighbourhood of a* if U is an open set containing a :

$$a \in U \quad \text{and} \quad U \in \tau.$$

H is a *neighbourhood of a* if H contains an open neighbourhood of a :

$$\exists U \in \tau : a \in U \quad \text{and} \quad U \subset H.$$

Notation

$$\mathcal{N}(x) := \{H \subset S : H \text{ is a neighbourhood of } x\}.$$

Definition (Neighbourhood base)

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}(x)$ for an element x of a topological space (S, τ) . Then \mathcal{N} is called a *neighbourhood base at x* if every neighbourhood of x contains a set from \mathcal{N} :

$$\forall H \in \mathcal{N}(x) \exists E \in \mathcal{N} : E \subset H$$

Example (Balls as a neighbourhood base)

Let (S, τ) be a pseudo-metrisable topological space. Then, for any pseudo-metric inducing the topology τ ,

$$\{B_{1/n}(a) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a neighbourhood base at a .

Proposition (Neighbourhood characterisation of openness)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space and let $A \subset S$. Then TFAE:

- (i) A is open;
- (ii) each element of A has a neighbourhood contained in A

$$\forall x \in A \exists H \in \mathcal{N}(x) : H \subset A;$$

- (iii) each element of A has an open neighbourhood contained in A

$$\forall x \in A \exists U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \cap \tau : U \subset A;$$

- (iv) A is a union of open neighbourhoods of its elements

$$A = \bigcup \{ U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \cap \tau : x \in A, U \subset A \}.$$

Proof.

If (i) holds, then A itself is a neighbourhood of each of its elements, so (ii) holds.

(ii) implies (iii) by the definition of a neighbourhood.

Clearly (iii) implies (iv) and (iv) implies (i) by Axiom (Tii). □

Remark

$\mathcal{N}(x)$ may be replaced by any open neighbourhood base at x in the above proposition.

Definition (First-countability)

A topological space (S, τ) is *first-countable* if each element of S has a countable neighbourhood base.

Example

Pseudo-metrisable spaces are first-countable.

Definition (Closed sets)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space. A subset F of S is *closed* if its complement in S is open:

$$S \setminus F \in \tau.$$

Remark

By de Morgan's laws the collection \mathcal{C} of closed sets of a topological space (S, τ) contains the empty set and the whole set S , and is closed under arbitrary intersection and finite union:

- ▶ $S, \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C} \implies \bigcap \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ $E, F \in \mathcal{C} \implies E \cup F \in \mathcal{C}$

Definition (Convergence of sequences)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space, let (x_n) be a sequence in S and let $a \in S$. Then (x_n) *converges to* a if, for every neighbourhood H of a , (x_n) is *eventually in* H :

$$\forall_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a)} \exists_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \forall_{n \geq N} : x_n \in H$$

Notation: $x_n \rightarrow a$.

Remarks

- ▶ Since $\mathcal{N}(a)$ may be replaced by any neighbourhood base at a , this agrees with familiar notions of convergence from analysis.
- ▶ For topological spaces which are *not* first-countable one has to work with a generalisation of sequences called *nets*.
- ▶ If a sequence (x_n) and elements a and b in S satisfy $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $x_n \rightarrow b$ then we cannot conclude that $a = b$ without further information. For example, consider the case where (S, τ) is pseudo-metrised by d . In that case all we could conclude is that $d(a, b) = 0$. We shall revisit this question of the uniqueness of limits later.

Proposition (Closed sets and limits of sequences)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space.

- (a) Suppose that F is closed. Then, for every element a of S and sequence (x_n) in F , $x_n \rightarrow a$ implies $a \in F$.
- (b) The converse holds when S is first-countable.

Proof.

- (a) Let (x_n) be a sequence in F converging to a and suppose, for a contradiction, that $a \notin F$. Since F is assumed closed, $S \setminus F$ is a neighbourhood of a so (x_n) is eventually in $S \setminus F$. This contradiction proves (a).
- (b) Exercise.



Definition (Interior, closure and boundary)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space, let $A \subset S$ and let $a \in S$. Then:

- ▶ a is an *interior point* of A if a has a neighbourhood contained in A :

$$\exists_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a)} : H \subset A;$$

- ▶ a is a *point of closure* of A if every neighbourhood of a meets A :

$$\forall_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a)} : H \cap A \neq \emptyset;$$

- ▶ a is a *boundary point* of A if every neighbourhood of a meets both A and $S \setminus A$:

$$\forall_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a)} : H \cap A \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad H \cap A^c \neq \emptyset.$$

The following sets are called respectively the *interior* of A , the *closure* of A and the *topological boundary* of A :

$$\text{Int } A := \{a \in S : a \text{ is an interior point of } A\},$$

$$\bar{A} := \{a \in S : a \text{ is a point of closure of } A\},$$

$$\partial A := \{a \in S : a \text{ is a boundary point of } A\}.$$

Proposition (Interior, closure, boundary and complementation)

Let $A \subset S$ for a topological space (S, τ) .

- (a) $\text{Int } A \subset A \subset \bar{A}$; $\partial A = \bar{A} \setminus \text{Int } A = \partial \bar{A} = \partial(A^c)$.
- (b) $\text{Int } A^c = (\bar{A})^c$; $\bar{A}^c = (\text{Int } A)^c$.
- (c) $\text{Int } A = \bigcup \{G \in \tau : G \subset A\}$, the largest open set contained in A .
- (d) $\bar{A} = \bigcap \{F : F \text{ is closed, } F \supset A\}$, the smallest closed set containing A .

Proof.

Exercise. □

Theorem (Kuratowski)

Let A be a subset of a topological space S . The maximum number of distinct sets that may be obtained from A by applying the closure and complementation operations is 14.

Exercise

Try and achieve the maximum with a subset A of \mathbb{R} (in its standard topology).

Definition (Density and separability)

Let $A \subset S$ for a topological space S . Then A is *dense in S* if $\bar{A} = S$. The topological space S is *separable* if it has a countable dense subset.

Example

In \mathbb{R} ,

$$\text{Int } \mathbb{Q} = \text{Int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \partial\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R}$$

In particular, since \mathbb{Q} is countable, \mathbb{R} is separable.

We shall see more interesting examples later.

T-2

- ▶ Continuity
- ▶ Homeomorphism

Definition (Continuity)

Let (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$. Then f is *continuous* if the inverse image of every open set in T is open in S :

$$\forall U \in \tau : f^{-1}(U) \in \sigma$$

Write $C((S, \sigma); (T, \tau))$ or, once the topologies on S and T are understood, $C(S; T)$ for the collection of such continuous functions.

Example (When every function is continuous)

If σ is the discrete topology on S or τ is the trivial topology on T then every function $S \rightarrow T$ is continuous

$$C((S, \sigma); (T, \tau)) = \mathcal{F}(S; T).$$

Proposition (Continuity by closed sets)

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$, for topological spaces S and T . Then TFAE:

- (i) f is continuous;
- (ii) $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed for each closed subset F of T .

Proof.

Exercise.



Definition (Continuity at a point)

Let (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces, let $a \in S$ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$. Then f is *continuous at a* if, for every neighbourhood H of $f(a)$, $f^{-1}(H)$ is a neighbourhood of a :

$$\forall_{H \in \mathcal{N}(f(a))} : f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{N}(a).$$

Remark

H may be assumed to be open or from a neighbourhood base at $f(a)$.

Proposition (Continuity \equiv continuity at each point)

Let (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is continuous;
- (ii) f is continuous at a , for all $a \in S$.

Proof.

Exercise. □

Proposition (Continuity by limits of sequences)

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$, for topological spaces (S, σ) and (T, τ) , and let $a \in S$.

- (a) If f is continuous at a then f is sequentially continuous that is, for every sequence (x_n) in S converging to a , the sequence $(f(x_n))$ converges to $f(a)$.
- (b) The converse holds if (S, σ) is first-countable.

Proof.

Exercise. □

Proposition (Continuity under composition)

Let (R, ρ) , (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces, let $f \in \mathcal{F}(R; S)$, let $g \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$ and let $a \in R$:

- (a) if f is continuous at a and g is continuous at $f(a)$, then $g \circ f$ is continuous at a ;
- (b) if f and g are continuous, then so is $g \circ f$.

Proof.

Exercise.



Definition (Homeomorphism)

Let (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$. Then f is a *homeomorphism* if:

- ▶ f is continuous;
- ▶ f is bijective;
- ▶ f^{-1} is continuous.

We say that (S, σ) and (T, τ) are *homeomorphic* when there is such a map f .

Remark

Homeomorphic spaces are *topologically* indistinguishable (e.g. doughnuts and teacups).

Proposition (Homeomorphism group)

The collection of homeomorphisms of a topological space (S, σ) forms a subgroup of the group of bijections from S to itself.

Notation: $\text{Homeo}(S, \sigma)$.

Proof.

Exercise.



Proposition (All open intervals are homeomorphic)

\mathbb{R} is homeomorphic to each of its open subintervals in their relative topology.

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: the functions

$$f :]-1, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \tan(\pi t/2)$$

$$g :]0, 1[\rightarrow]a, \infty[, \quad t \mapsto t/(1-t) + a$$

$$h :]0, 1[\rightarrow]a, b[, \quad t \mapsto (1-t)a + tb$$

are all homeomorphisms.]



Example (Nonhomeomorphic continuous bijection)

Let $T =]0, 1[$ and $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_n + n)$ where $I_n = [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}[$. Thus, $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. The function $g : T \rightarrow S$ determined by

$$g|_{I_n}(t) = t + n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

is bijective and discontinuous at the points $\{\frac{1}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; however, the inverse function is perfectly continuous. (The topologies on S and T are the relative topologies inherited from \mathbb{R} .)

Remark

In functional analysis examples of this phenomena are easy to come by.

T-3

- ▶ Hausdorff property

Definition (Hausdorff spaces)

A topological space (S, τ) is *Hausdorff* if distinct elements of S have disjoint neighbourhoods:

$$\forall_{a \neq b \in S} \exists_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a), E \in \mathcal{N}(b)} : H \cap E = \emptyset$$

equivalently

$$\forall_{a \neq b \in S} \exists_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a)} : S \setminus H \in \mathcal{N}(b)$$

Exercise. Another equivalent is

$$\forall_{a \neq b \in S} \exists_{H \in \mathcal{N}(a) \cap \tau, E \in \mathcal{N}(b) \cap \tau} : H \cap E = \emptyset.$$

Example (Metrisable \equiv Hausdorff + pseudo-metrisable)

A pseudo-metrisable topological space is Hausdorff if and only if it is metrisable.

Proposition (Closure of singleton sets in Hausdorff spaces)

Let (S, τ) be a Hausdorff topological space and let $a \in S$. Then $\{a\}$ is closed.

Proof.

For each $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$ there is $U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \cap \tau$ such that $a \notin U$, so $U \subset S \setminus \{a\}$. Therefore $S \setminus \{a\}$ is open (by the Neighbourhood Characterisation of Openness) and so $\{a\} = S \setminus (S \setminus \{a\})$ is closed. \square

Proposition (Uniqueness of limits in a Hausdorff space)

Let (x_n) be a sequence in a Hausdorff topological space. If $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $x_n \rightarrow b$, then $a = b$.

Proof.

Exercise. \square

Remark

The same holds for nets.

T-4

- ▶ Generating topologies; bases and subbases; second-countability
- ▶ Initial topologies

Remark

If a set S has at least two elements then it has a variety of topologies.

Proposition

The collection of all possible topologies on a given set S is partially ordered by inclusion.

Proof.

Exercise. □

Definition (Finer/coarser topologies)

When $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ we say that the topology τ_2 is *finer* than the topology τ_1 . Thus, the discrete topology on S is the finest topology on S and the trivial topology is the coarsest.

Proposition (Generated topologies)

Let S be a set.

- (a) Let Σ be a family of topologies on S . Then $\bigcap \Sigma$ is a topology on S . It is the finest topology on S which is contained in σ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$.
- (b) Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$. Then there is a coarsest topology on S containing \mathcal{S} , the topology generated by \mathcal{S} , written $\tau(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof.

- (a) This is a straight-forward verification.
- (b) Let $\tau = \bigcap \Sigma$, where Σ is the collection of topologies on S containing \mathcal{S} (such as the discrete topology). By (a) τ is a topology on S ; it is clearly the coarsest topology containing \mathcal{S} .

□

Lemma (On generating topologies)

Let T be a set.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$. Then $\tau(\mathcal{S}) = \tau(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}))$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$ is the collection of finite (nonempty) intersections of sets from \mathcal{S} :

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}) := \left\{ \bigcap \mathcal{S}_0 : \emptyset \subsetneq \mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S} \right\}$$

- (b) Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$. Then, setting $\tau_0 = \{ \bigcup \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B} \}$, TFAE:

- (i) τ_0 is a topology on T ;
(ii) $\bigcup \mathcal{B} = T$ and

$$\forall B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B} : B_1 \cap B_2 = \bigcup \{ B \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset B_1 \cap B_2 \};$$

- (iii) $\tau(\mathcal{B}) = \tau_0$.

Convention

An empty union gives \emptyset .

Proof.

- (a) Since $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \tau(\mathcal{S})$, (a) is obvious.
- (b) Since τ_0 is closed under arbitrary unions, it is a topology if and only if it is closed under finite intersections. In view of the identity

$$\bigcup \mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}' = \bigcup \{U \cap U' : U \in \mathcal{U}, U' \in \mathcal{U}'\}$$

this holds if and only if (ii) holds. Thus, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Since

$$\mathcal{B} \subset \tau_0 \subset \tau(\mathcal{B})$$

(i) and (iii) are equivalent too.

□

With the further convention that an empty intersection of subsets of S is understood to be S , we have the following.

Corollary (Characterisation of generated topology)

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ for a set S . The topology on S generated by \mathcal{S} is the collection of subsets expressible as “a union of finite intersections of sets from \mathcal{S} ”.

Definition (Base and subbase)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \tau$. Then \mathcal{B} is a *base* for τ if every open set is a union of sets from \mathcal{B} :

$$\tau = \left\{ \bigcup \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

- (b) Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \tau$. Then \mathcal{S} is a *subbase* for the topology τ if $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$ is a base or, equivalently, if $\tau(\mathcal{S}) = \tau$.

Example (Base of balls)

The open balls of a pseudo-metric space form a base for the induced topology.

Example (Subbase for \mathbb{R})

A subbase for \mathbb{R} is

$$\{]-\infty, a[: a \in \mathbb{R} \} \cup \{]a, \infty[: a \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Example (Base for discrete topologies)

\mathcal{B} is a base for a discrete topological space S if and only if

$$\mathcal{B} \supset \{ \{a\} : a \in S \}$$

The following is a useful application of subbases.

Proposition (Subbase characterisation of continuity)

Let (S, σ) and (T, τ) be topological spaces, let \mathcal{S} be a subbase for τ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(S; T)$.

Then TFAE:

- (i) f is continuous;
- (ii) $\forall G \in \mathcal{S} : f^{-1}(G) \in \sigma$.

Proof.

This is an immediate consequence of the good behaviour of unions and intersections under inverse images: for any $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(\tau)$

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{U}\right) = \bigcup \left\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\right\};$$

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcap \mathcal{U}\right) = \bigcap \left\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\right\}.$$



Definition (Second-countability)

A topological space is *second-countable* if it has a countable base.

Remarks

- (i) Having a countable *subbase* suffices.
- (ii) Second-countable spaces are necessarily first-countable and separable.

Proposition (Pseudo-metrisable + separable \implies second-countable)

A pseudo-metrisable space is second-countable if and only if it is separable.

Proof.

Exercise. □

Proposition (Initial topologies)

Let S be a set and let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions into topological spaces with common source (= domain) S .

- (a) There is a coarsest topology on S with respect to which each function in \mathcal{F} is continuous: the initial topology of \mathcal{F} .*
- (b) Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(R; S)$ for a topological space (R, τ) . Then g is continuous (with respect to the initial topology of \mathcal{F} on S) if and only if $f \circ g$ is continuous for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof.

(a) Setting

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f^{-1}(U) : f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } U \in \tau_f\},$$

where τ_f is the topology of the target space of f , the topology $\tau(\mathcal{S})$ is easily seen to fit the bill.

(b) Since the above collection \mathcal{S} is a subbase for the initial topology of \mathcal{F} and

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{S} : g^{-1}(A) \in \tau \iff \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \forall U \in \tau_f : f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) \in \tau,$$

(b) follows for the subbase characterisation of continuity.
(Note $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$.)

□

Remark

From the above proof it is clear that if \mathcal{S}_f is a subbase for the topology τ_f , for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\{f^{-1}(G) : f \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{S}_f\}$$

is a subbase for the initial topology of \mathcal{F} on S .

Proposition (Hausdorff initial topology)

Let S be a set with the initial topology of a family of functions $(f : S \rightarrow T_f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ into Hausdorff topological spaces. If \mathcal{F} separates the points of S , that is

$$\forall_{s_1 \neq s_2 \in S} \exists_{f \in \mathcal{F}} : f(s_1) \neq f(s_2)$$

then S is Hausdorff.

Proof.

Exercise. □

T-5

- ▶ Product topology

Definition

The *product topology* on $T = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda$, for a family of topological spaces $(T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, is the initial topology for the family of coordinate maps $(\pi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, which are defined by

$$\pi_\lambda((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}) = x_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Remarks

- (i) A function g from a topological space R into a product space $T = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda$ is continuous if and only if each of its “component maps” $g_\lambda := \pi_\lambda \circ g$ is continuous $R \rightarrow T_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$).
- (ii) If \mathcal{S}_λ is a subbase for the topology of T_λ , for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then sets of the form $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda$ where, for some $\mu \in \Lambda$,

$$S_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad S_\lambda = T_\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \neq \mu$$

form a subbase for the product topology.

- (iii) If \mathcal{B}_λ is a base for the topology of T_λ , for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then sets of the form $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda$ where, for some $\Lambda_0 \subset \subset \Lambda$,

$$S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda_0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_\lambda = T_\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0$$

form a base.

- (iv) If each T_λ is Hausdorff then so is T .
- (v) A sequence $(x^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ converges to a in T if and only if $x_\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow a_\lambda$ in T_λ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Example (\mathbb{R}^n again)

The collection of rectangles

$$\{I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n : I_k \text{ is a bounded open interval for } k = 1, \dots, n\}$$

forms a base for the product topology of the standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

Exercise

Show that the product topology on \mathbb{R}^n coincides with the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^n induced by the Euclidean metric.

Proposition (Countable product of separable spaces)

Let T be a countable product of separable topological spaces. Then T is separable (in the product topology).

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: If S_n is a countable dense subset of T_n , where $T = \prod_{n \geq 1} T_n$, set

$$S = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n \times \{t_{n+1}^*\} \times \{t_{n+2}^*\} \times \cdots$$

where $(t_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ is a fixed element of T .]

□

Proposition (Countable product of metrisable spaces)

Let $T = \prod_{j \in J} T_j$ be a countable product of (pseudo-)metrisable topological spaces. Then T is (pseudo-)metrisable.

Proof.

For each $j \in J$ let d_j be a pseudo-metric inducing the topology of T_j , with d_j bounded by one if J is infinite. Define a map

$$d : T \times T \rightarrow [0, \infty[, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j d_j(x_j, y_j)$$

where $(\alpha_j)_{j \in J}$ in $]0, \infty[$ is chosen so that $\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j < \infty$. It is easily verified that d is a pseudo-metric which is a metric if each d_j is. It is a nice **exercise** to verify that d (pseudo-)metrises the product topology. \square

Corollary (Continuity of pseudo-metrics)

Let (E, d) be a pseudo-metric space. Then d is continuous $E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (with respect to the topology on E induced by the pseudo-metric).

Proof.

By the proposition, the topology on $E \times E$ is pseudo-metrised by the pseudo-metric

$$\tilde{d} : (s, t) \mapsto d(s_1, t_1) + d(s_2, t_2)$$

In view of the inequality

$$|d(s_1, s_2) - d(t_1, t_2)| \leq d(s_1, t_1) + d(s_2, t_2) = \tilde{d}(s, t) \quad (s, t \in E \times E)$$

d is Lipschitz continuous $(E \times E, \tilde{d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, d is continuous with respect to the product topology. □

Lipschitz continuity is discussed in Section: Metric Spaces.

T-6

- ▶ Further implications of the Hausdorff property
- ▶ Identity Theorem

Proposition (Hausdorff space valued continuous functions have closed graphs)

Let $f \in C(S; T)$ where S and T are topological spaces and T is Hausdorff. Then

$$\text{Graph}(f) := \{(s, f(s)) : s \in S\}$$

is a closed subset of $S \times T$.

Proof.

Let $(a, b) \in S \times T \setminus G$ where $G = \text{Graph}(f)$. Then $b \neq f(a)$ so, since T is Hausdorff, there are disjoint open neighbourhoods U' and V of $f(a)$ and b , respectively. Set $U = f^{-1}(U')$. Then U is open, $a \in U$ and

$$f(U) \cap V \subset U' \cap V = \emptyset$$

so $U \times V$ is an open neighbourhood of (a, b) disjoint from G . Thus $S \times T \setminus G$ is open and so G is closed. □

Proposition (Closedness of diagonals)

Let S be a topological space. Then the diagonal set

$$D := \{(s, s) : s \in S\}$$

is closed in the product space $S \times S$ if and only if S is Hausdorff.

Proof.

Apply the previous proposition with $T = S$ and $f = \text{id}_S$ for the 'if'. Conversely, suppose that D is closed and let $s \neq s' \in S$. Then (s, s') lies in the open set $S \times S \setminus D$, so there is a basic neighbourhood $U \times U'$ of (s, s') contained in $S \times S \setminus D$. But this is equivalent to saying that U and U' are disjoint neighbourhoods of s and s' respectively. It follows that S is Hausdorff. \square

Exercise

Generalise this to higher-order products $S \times \cdots \times S$.

Theorem (Identity Theorem for continuous functions)

Let $f_1, f_2 \in C(S; T)$, where S and T are topological spaces and T is Hausdorff:

- (a) $E := \{s \in S : f_1(s) = f_2(s)\}$ is closed;
- (b) if E is dense in S , then $f_1 = f_2$.

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: Note that $E = F^{-1}(G \cap (S \times D))$ where $G = \text{Graph}(f)$, $D = \{(t, t) : t \in T\}$ and F is the function

$$S \rightarrow S \times T \times T, \quad s \mapsto (s, f_1(s), f_2(s)) = (s, f(s)).]$$



T-7

- ▶ Compactness
- ▶ Tychonoff Theorem
- ▶ Heine–Borel Theorem

Definition (Compactness)

Let (S, τ) be a topological space and let $K \subset S$. Then K is *compact* if every open cover of K has a finite subcover:

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \tau, \quad K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U} \quad \implies \quad \exists \mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U} : \quad K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_0$$

or, in terms of indexed sets,

$$\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset \tau, \quad K \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$$

$$\implies \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda : \quad K \subset G_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup G_{\lambda_n}$$

or, more verbosely, “if K is contained in the union of a collection of open sets then K is contained in the union of a finite subcollection of those open sets”.

Example (Finite sets are compact)

Any finite subset of a topological space is compact.

Remark

Compactness may fruitfully be viewed as a kind of “generalised finiteness” property or “approximate finiteness”.

Example (Compactness of the unit interval)

Let I be the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Then I is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} (with its standard topology).

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of I . Then, for each $t \in I$, \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $I_t = [0, t]$. Define $J = \{t \in I : I_t \text{ has a finite subcover}\}$ and use the fact that the collection of open intervals forms a base for the topology of \mathbb{R} .] □

Proposition (Compactness and closedness)

Let A and K be subsets of a topological space (S, τ) .

- (a) Suppose that A is closed, K is compact and $A \subset K$. Then A is compact.
- (b) Suppose that (S, τ) is Hausdorff and A is compact. Then A is closed.

Definition (Relative compactness)

A subset A of a topological space (S, τ) is *relatively compact* if it is contained in a compact set.

Remark

In a Hausdorff space, relative compactness of A is equivalent to compactness of \overline{A} .

Proof.

- (a) Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of A . Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\}$ is an open cover of the compact set K . Therefore, there is $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \{A^c\}$ covers K . But then \mathcal{U}_0 covers A . Therefore, A is compact.
- (b) Let $a \in E \setminus K$. For each $z \in K$, choose disjoint open neighbourhoods G_z and U_z of z and a , respectively. Then $K \subset \bigcup_{z \in K} G_z$ so, by compactness, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in K$ such that $K \subset G$ where G is the open set $G_{z_1} \cup \dots \cup G_{z_n}$. Set $U = U_{z_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{z_n}$. Then U is open and

$$a \in U \subset E \setminus G \subset E \setminus K.$$

Thus, U is a neighbourhood of a contained in $E \setminus K$. It follows that $E \setminus K$ is open (by the Proposition on the neighbourhood characterisation of openness) and so K is closed.



Theorem (Continuous image of a compact)

Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be continuous, for topological spaces S and T , and let $K \subset S$ be compact. Then $f(K)$ is compact.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of $f(K)$. Then $\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open cover of the compact set K . So there is $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}.$$

Applying f (as a set map) to both sides yields $f(K) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_0$. Thus, $f(K)$ is compact. □

Proposition (Compact-to-Hausdorff homeomorphism)

Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a continuous bijection from a compact space into a Hausdorff space. Then f is a homeomorphism.

Proof.

Exercise. [Hint: recall the Proposition on Continuity by Closed Sets.]



Theorem (Tychonoff)

Let $K = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda$ where, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, K_λ is a compact subset of a topological space T_λ . Then K is compact in the product topology on $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_\lambda$.

Theorem (Heine–Borel)

Let K be a closed and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n . Then K is compact.

Proof.

Since \mathbb{C}^n is identified topologically with \mathbb{R}^{2n} and the identification respects “boundedness”, it suffices to deal with the real case.

Choose a closed and bounded interval J such that $J^n \supset K$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the affine function which maps the unit interval I onto J :

$$f(t) = (1 - t)a + tb \quad \text{for } J = [a, b]$$

Since I is compact and f is continuous, it follows that $J = f(I)$ is compact. By Tychonoff’s theorem, J^n is compact. Being a closed subset of a compact set, K is therefore compact. □

T-8

- ▶ Final topologies
- ▶ Quotient topology

Proposition (Final topologies)

Let T be a set and let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions from topological spaces into T .

- (a) *There is a finest topology on T with respect to which each function in \mathcal{F} is continuous: the final topology of \mathcal{F} .*
- (b) *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(T; R)$ for a topological space R . Then g is continuous (with respect to the final topology on T) if and only if $g \circ f$ is continuous for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof.

Exercise.



Example (Quotient topology)

Let S be a topological space and let $q : S \rightarrow S/\sim$ be the quotient map associated with an equivalence relation \sim on S . The *quotient topology* on S/\sim is the final topology associated with q .

Properties

- ▶ U is open in $S/\sim \iff \{s \in S : [s] \in U\}$ is open in S
- ▶ $q(A)$ is open in $S/\sim \iff A_\sim$ is open in S

where $A_\sim := q^{-1}(q(A)) = \bigcup \{[s] : s \in A\}$ is the \sim -saturation of A .

- ▶ $\{[s]\}$ is closed in $S/\sim \iff [s]$ is closed in S
- ▶ for any $g \in \mathcal{F}(S/\sim; R)$ and topological space R ,

$$g \text{ is continuous} \iff s \mapsto g([s]) \text{ is continuous } S \rightarrow R$$